

# **Cambridge City Council**

**Item** 

To: Executive Councillor for Strategy & Transformation:

Councillor Lewis Herbert

Report by: Chief Executive, Director of Customer and Community

Services, Director of Environment, Director of Business

Transformation and Head of Finance

Relevant scrutiny

Strategy and Resources

committee:

Scrutiny Committee

18 January 2016

Wards affected: All Wards

Strategy and Resources – Strategy & Transformation Portfolio Revenue and Capital Budget Proposals for 2015/16 to 2019/20

### **Key Decision**

### 1. Executive summary

#### **Revenue and Capital Budgets**

1.1 The following report details the budget proposals relating to this portfolio that are included in the Budget-Setting Report (BSR) 2016/17 which will be considered at the following meetings:

Date	Committee	Comments
18 January	Strategy &	Consider proposals / recommendations
2016	Resources	from all Scrutiny Committees in relation to their portfolios
21 January 2016	The Executive	Budget amendment may be presented
8 February	Strategy &	Consider any further amendments including
2016	Resources	opposition proposals
25 February	Council	Approves General Fund Budget and sets
2016		Council Tax

#### 2. Recommendations

The Executive Councillor is recommended to:

#### **Review of Charges:**

a) There are none requiring formal approval within this Portfolio (so no Appendix A).

#### Revenue:

b) Consider the revenue budget proposals as shown in Appendix B.

#### Capital:

- c) Consider the capital budget proposals as shown in Appendix C.
- d) Adjust capital funding for item 2 (c).

### 3. Background

- 3.1 At its meeting on 22 October 2015, Council gave initial consideration to the budget prospects for the General Fund for 2016/17 and future years in the Mid-year Financial Review (MFR) 2015.
- 3.2 The overall BSR to Strategy & Resources Scrutiny Committee on 18 January 2016 will include a review of all the factors relating to the overall financial strategy that were included in the MFR.
- 3.3 The report to The Executive on 21 January 2016 may include details of the Government's Final Settlement for 2016/17. The announcement is likely to be made shortly after the conclusion of the consultation period, which ends on 15 January 2016.
- 3.4 Further work may be required on detailed budgets, so delegation to the Head of Finance will be sought from Council for authority to finalise changes relating, for example, to the reallocation of departmental administration, support service and central costs, in accordance with the CIPFA Service Reporting Code of Practice for Local Authorities (SeRCOP).

#### **Budget 2016/17 - Overall Revenue Budget Position**

3.5 The budget proposals for this portfolio, as summarised in table 1, will be considered by the Executive at its meeting on 21 January 2016.

Table 1: Overall Revenue Proposals (see Appendix B)

Savings and Bids	2015/16 Budget £	2016/17 Budget £	2017/18 Forecast £
Savings:			
Increased Income	-	-	-
Savings	-	(94,500)	(94,500)
Total	-	(94,500)	(94,500)
Bids:			
Unavoidable Revenue Pressures	-	-	-
Reduced Income	-	-	-
Bids	-	55,500	52,500
Total	-	-	-
Net (savings)/bids	-	(39,000)	(42,000)
External Bids	-	-	-

Non-Cash Limit Items	408,000	230,000	81,000
----------------------	---------	---------	--------

#### Capital

- 3.6 The review of the capital plan and capital approval processes, first proposed in the MFR 2014, is now complete. The review addressed a number of concerns, as listed below:
  - Capacity to deliver projects to time, cost and quality;
  - Dependency on revenue funding; and
  - Inclusion of items, such as unallocated funds, projects at an early stage of development, and items more properly treated as small enhancements or maintenance spend.
- 3.7 Phase 1 sought to remove projects from the plan that were not fully specified and/or not deliverable. Phase 2 proposed and implemented new processes and procedures, including a Capital Programme Board to review and approve the planning and deliverability of schemes prior to funding approval. The operation of the board and the new processes will be kept under review to ensure effectiveness.
- 3.8 All capital proposals in this BSR have been put through the new processes. Additionally, new documentation was required for schemes already on the plan but not yet started, to ensure that they are planned and deliverable. Where

satisfactory documentation has not been received, it is proposed that funding is withdrawn and the schemes are moved to the Projects Under Development (PUD) list.

Table 2: Overall Capital Proposals (see Appendix C)

	2015/16 £	2016/17 £	2017/18 £	2018/19 £	2019/20 £
Capital Deletions	-	1	-	1	-
Capital Bids	-	85,000	-	-	-
Net Capital Bids	-	85,000	-	-	-

#### **Public Consultation**

- 3.9 The Council has carried out a budget consultation exercise annually since 2002. Last year, the council used a tool called "YouChoose", in which residents were asked to say how they would increase or decrease the budget across a range of council services, to meet the council's savings targets and set a balanced budget. In the YouChoose exercise, residents identified a number of services where they thought the biggest savings could be made. These included planning services, managing parks and public spaces, waste collection and recycling, running community centres, street cleaning, and enforcing environmental standards.
- 3.10 This year, the council asked a group of residents and businesses to take part in workshops to look in more detail at where savings could be made from the services picked out last year. BMG Research, an independent research agency, was commissioned to conduct deliberative workshops to explore their views. Three workshops involving a representative sample of residents and local businesses were run in August and early September 2015. Participants were asked to:
  - Indicate how they perceived the Council and the services it provides.
  - Give their initial views on a long-list of services (23) that make-up the five key service areas.
  - Talk about their experiences of using the services (23).
  - Discuss how they valued the services, and whether there were opportunities for doing things in a different way.
  - Vote on the importance of services where ideas for savings (8) had been put forward.
  - · Give their initial views on the savings ideas.
  - Consider the ideas for savings in a bit more depth.
- 3.11 The full report, published on the Council's website, sets out the key findings from the research. Section 3 of the BSR highlights the views of participants in the workshops, outlining similarities or differences between the views of resident and business representatives.

### 4. Implications

All budget proposals have a number of implications. A decision not to approve a revenue bid will impact on managers' ability to deliver the service or scheme in question and could have financial, staffing, equality and poverty, environmental, procurement, consultation and communication and / or community safety implications. A decision not to approve a capital or external bid will impact on managers' ability to deliver the developments desired in the service areas.

### (a) Financial Implications

Financial implications of budget proposals are summarised in the BSR 2016/17.

# (b) Staffing Implications

See text above.

### (c) Equality and Poverty Implications

A consolidated Equality Impact Assessment for the Council's Budget Setting Report will be submitted to The Executive at its meeting on 21 January 2016. Individual Equality Impact Assessments have been conducted to support this and will be available on the Council's website.

### (d) **Environmental Implications**

Where relevant, officers have considered the environmental impact of budget proposals which are annotated as follows:

- +H / +M / +L: to indicate that the proposal has a high, medium or low positive impact.
- Nil: to indicate that the proposal has no climate change impact.
- -H / -M / -L: to indicate that the proposal has a high, medium or low negative impact.

#### (e) **Procurement Implications**

Any procurement implications will be outlined in the BSR 2016/17.

#### (f) Consultation and Communication Implications

As outlined in 3 above, budget proposals are based on the requirements of statutory and discretionary service provision. Public consultations are undertaken throughout the year and can be seen at:

https://www.cambridge.gov.uk/budget-consultation

#### (g) Community Safety Implications

Any community safety implications will be outlined in the BSR 2016/17.

#### 5. Background papers

These background papers were used in the preparation of this report:

- Budget Setting Report 2016/17
- Mid-year Financial Review 2015
- Individual Equality Impact Assessments

## 6. Appendices

The following items, where applicable, are included for discussion:

<b>Appendix</b>	Proposal Type	Included
Α	Review of Fees & Charges	-
В	Revenue Budget Proposals for this portfolio	✓
С	Capital Budget Proposals for this portfolio	✓

### 7. Inspection of papers

To inspect the background papers or if you have a query on the report please contact:

Authors' Name: John Harvey
Authors' Phone Number: 01223 - 458143

Authors' Email: John.harvey@cambridge.gov.uk

O:\accounts\Committee Reports & Papers\Strategy & Resources from July 2007\2016 January\Strategy and Transformation Portfolio\Final\2016-17 Budget Report - S&T - Final.doc

2016/17 Budget - Revenue Proposals							Page 1 of 3		
Reference	Item Description	2015/16 Budget £	2016/17 Budget £	2017/18 Budget £	2018/19 Budget £	2019/20 Budget £	Contact	Climate Effect & Poverty Ratings	
Bids									
Strategy & 1	Transformation (								
33771	Public Spaces Protection Order Signage	0	3,000	0	0	0	Lynda Kilkell	y Nil	
Exploration is extensive sign	underway into obtaining a age will be required in spec	Public Spaces cific conservat	Protection ion areas c	Order ago of the city.	iinst punt t	outing. If i	this is pursue	ed, 2.9	
33772	Domestic Abuse – Development work, training and awareness raising	0	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	Lynda Kilkell	y Nil	
accreditation he commun awareness, in order to do t	ncil has committed to delive and to develop work to he ity, including business, vol inprove the availability of in his we need to run events, working with a wide range	elp address d untary sector formation and produce pub	omestic at and parti d increase blicity mate	ouse in the ner agenc reporting c rial, train s	City. The pies in word domestice that the contraction of the contracti	olan invol king toge c abuse ii nsure the	ves engagir ether to rai ncidences. re is dynam	ng ise In nic	
33821	Bid to keep Cambridge's streetlights on in partnership with the County Council	0	45,500	45,500	45,500	45,500	Lynda Kilkell	y +H	
ignificant effiche actions of the County whe results of chis proposal if the	Council's proposal to dim or ect on community safety in four various parishes across Could found the period between any on the basis that, in the age city centre and University ations, will be kept on with a ghts in streets currently propits a maximum dimming of	Cambridge. 1 ambridgeshire, a midnight and he County as the County as the County as the County areas, include a maximum of cosed for switch	This bid is a to fund the 2am. The heir budge riod: ding imme 20% dimmi	compromi e lights bet precise no et decisions diate walk, ng, and wi	se offer to tween 2an Iture of this become Ccycle to I Il be funde	the Courn and 6and so bid is to clearer.  Thomas round by the	nty, in line win in the city be subject tes, and fro County	ith v if to om	
otal Bids in St	trategy & Transformation	0	55,500	52,500	52,500	52,500	_		
otal Bids		0	55,500	52,500	52,500	52,500	<b>=</b> -		

2016/17 Budget - Revenue Proposals							Page 2 of 3	
Reference	Item Description	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20		Climate
		Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget		Effect
		£	£	£	£	£	Contact	& Poverty

### Non-Cash Limit Items

#### **Strategy & Transformation**

NCL3845 Additional funding for

**Business Transformation Programme** 

408,000

77,000

(72,000)29,000

Ray Ward

Nil

**Ratings** 

The Council has previously provided funding for a complex cross-cutting programme of transformational n/a change. At the outset it is challenging to predict accurately the costs of such a change as the scale of the savings required from it and the detail of each specific project within the programme may be difficult to accurately define. As more detail of the costs, benefits and pace of the programme become available it is clear that additional funding is required. The programme is currently managing and preparing to manage projects with a combined cost saving value of £2.4m. The bid will provide for the additional change resources required to deliver these and future projects and other staffing costs associated with the programme. The bid also reflects the need to re-profile the programme budget, based on the anticipated demand in the earlier years of the programme.

NCL3855 Contribution to City Deal from New Homes Bonus (NHB)

0 153,000 153,000

153,000

153,000

Caroline Ryba

Nil

Contribution from New Homes Bonus to support the City Deal programme based on a contribution of 40% in 2015/16 and 50% thereafter. This incorporates the additional New Homes Bonus for 2016/17 based on 17 December notification from the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) and future NHB amounts calculated based on Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) housing projections. [Linked to NCL3854 and NCL38561.

Total Non-Cash Limit Items in Strategy & **Transformation** 

**Total Non-Cash Limit Items** 

408,000	230,000	81,000	182,000	153,000
408,000	230,000	81,000	182,000	153,000

2016/1	7 Budget - Reve	nue Pro	posa	ls		ŀ	Page 3 of 3	3
Reference	Item Description	2015/16 Budget £	2016/17 Budget £	2017/18 Budget £	2018/19 Budget £	2019/20 Budget £	Contact	Climate Effect & Poverty Ratings
Savings								
Strategy & 1	Transformation ( )							
\$3755	Miscellaneous savings in Democratic Services	0	(7,000)	(7,000)	(7,000)	(7,000)	Gary Clift	Nil
A change to resulted in sav	one post (grade and hours, vings on committee agenda o	will result in costs.	n an on-go	oing saving.	A new	printing c	ontractor ho	as n/a
\$3801	Various operational savings from cost centre 01007 and 01006	0	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	Andrew Limb	) Nil
Some of the the current u	operational efficiencies in c efficiencies will be achieved underspend on the interpret neet demand for these service	as a result c ing budget.	of reduced	demand for	or consulte	ancy supp	port and froi	m
\$3835	Corporate Management Review	0	(80,000)	(80,000)	(80,000)	(80,000)	Antoinette Jackson	Nil
managers, in council is motherad of services	cutive has reviewed the cour the light of the number of nev inaging fewer services direct ace posts and replacing these ad of service post.	w arm's leng ly. This savi	ith and sha ng is base	red service d on the d	s arrangei eletion of	ments, wh one dire	ich mean th ctor and tw	ie ′0
Total Savings	in Strategy & Transformation	0	(94,500)	(94,500)	(94,500)	(94,500)	_	
Total Savings		0	(94,500)	(94,500)	(94,500)	(94,500)	_ <b>=</b>	

408,000

191,000

39,000

140,000

111,000

**Report Total** 

2016/1	7 Budget - Capi	tal				F	age 1 of	1
Reference	Item Description	2015/16 Budget £	2016/17 Budget £	2017/18 Budget £	2018/19 Budget £	2019/20 Budget £	Contact	Climate Effect & Poverty Ratings
Capital I	Bids							
Strategy & 1	Transformation (							
C3785	Investment in dedicated Wi-fi frequency for Cambridge CCTV cameras	0	25,000	0	0	0	Paul Necus	Nil
To procure a uninterrupted	dedicated Wi-Fi frequency to communications between th	operate CC ne cameras	CTV camero and the CC	as in Camb CTV Contro	ridge City I Room	Centre th	at will ensu	ure 4.4
C3786	Replacement of Redeployable CCTV camera stock	0	60,000	0	0	0	Paul Necus	Nil
To procure six obsolete stoc	new redeployable CCTV car k	meras for Co	ambridge C	ity Centre	that are fi	for purpo	ose, replaci	ing 4.4
Total Capital Transformatio	Bids in Strategy & n	0	85,000	0	0	0	=	
Total Capital	Bids	0	85,000	0	0	0	- =	
Report Total		0	85,000	0	0	0	_	